Determination on two acknowledgements

Receipt 43 acknowledges transfer of the brass tray. The dispatch request asks for insertion of a certified comparison with the personnel memorandum. The disposition sheet uses the equipment receipt against that second matter without a matching receiving entry. The two acknowledgements cannot be treated as one.

The allegation against E. Vale remains corrected under RA/PR/2026-09. Her retained carbon is consistent with the ledger's separate entries but is not the basis of the correction. The witnessed comparison remains the stronger evidence against an attribution to her conduct.

The independent reference release was initiated by Records & Access after the personnel finding. Its purpose is to preserve an externally citable comparison, including the ordinary desk control. The public selection is not a request for readers to operate withdrawn equipment.

M. Avery · Records & Access · 27 September 2026

The cause of the service order is unestablished; intent behind the original filing shortcut is not determined.

Records & Access / 27 September 2026

Release schedule and source limits

Attachment	Source	Released folios
R01	Equipment receipt 43	01
R02	Certified duplicate dispatch request	02
R03	Disposition cross-reference sheet	03–04
R04	Independent circulation direction	05
R05	Vale retained carbon	06
R06	Review and schedule	07–08

Related released sources: RA-IR-1961-042-R02, R03 and R04 establish the original order and witnessed comparison. R05 corrects the personnel attribution. R06 states the historical A/B/C distribution.
An empty acknowledgement field is not proof that no letter travelled. A signed equipment receipt is not proof that a personnel enclosure entered the staff file. Both limitations are retained with this release.

Eight released folios. No retained original enclosure is counted as a released page.